

- 9 -

REMARKS

Examiner stated that claims 2-9, 13, 15-18 were rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be considered as allowable if amended to include the base claim and any intervening claims.

In this regard Agent for Applicant respectfully states that claim 1 has been amended to include the wording of claim 2. Claims 3-9 as well as claims 10, 11 and 13 depend on claim 1 amended (which includes the limitation of claim 2) and accordingly is also allowable.

Furthermore claim 14 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 15 and accordingly claims 16-18 which depend on amended claim 14 are also allowable.

Moreover Examiner stated that claims 1, 10-11, 14, 21 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hwang. Agent for Applicant respectfully states that with the amendments outlined above such claims are also allowable over the prior art.

Furthermore Examiner stated that claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen. Kindly note that claim 14 has been amended so as to incorporate the features of claim 15.

Furthermore Agent for Applicant respectfully states that new claim 23 has been added.


Kindly note that none of the prior art cited by the Examiner show the features claimed in new claim 23.

- 10 -

CONCLUSIONS

Agent for Applicant respectfully states that the application is now in condition for immediate allowance and respectfully solicits same.

Yours faithfully,



Agent for Applicant
Eugene J.A. Gierczak
MILLER THOMSON LLP
Barristers & Solicitors
20 Queen Street West, Suite 2500
Toronto, Ontario Canada M5H 3S1
Telephone No. (416) 596-2132
Telecopier No. (416) 595-8695
EJAG*d

cc: Bruce Mead

N:\corp\egierczak\ASM Modular\Top Levelled\US\response 2.doc